

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR. ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO. 10/602,059 06/24/2003 Antonio D. Anderson 11237 1190 EXAMINER 7590 08/05/2005 Walter A. Rodgers NGO, LIEN M Rodgers & Rodgers PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 880 N. Island Drive Atlanta, GA 30327 3727

DATE MAILED: 08/05/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/602,059	ANDERSON, ANTONIO D.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	LIEN TM NGO	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status			
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 A This action is FINAL. Since this application is in condition for alloward closed in accordance with the practice under the second secon	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pre		merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/of Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomposite and applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct and application of declaration is objected to by the Examin	er. cepted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is objected.	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CF	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 			
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summar Paper No(s)/Mail I Solution of Informal 6) Other:	Date	D-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/602,059

Art Unit: 3727

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 2 is objected to because of the following informalities: "Velcro" is a trademark name and should not be in the claimed. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1 and 2 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Cobbe (4,712,215). Cobbe discloses, in figs. 3 and 5, an infant breast feeding support comprising a breast feeding veil 12 and a rear panel 16 detachably affixed thereto by means of Velcro.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claim 3 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cobbe in view of Farrell-Mestas (5,652,958).

Farell-Mestas teaches an infant breast feeding veil comprising a pouch.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,059

Art Unit: 3727

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a pouch in the Cobbe feeding veil, as taught by Farrell-Mestas, in order to carry items such as pacifier and the like.

6. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cobbe in view of Amicarelli et al. (5,133,085).

Amicarelli teaches a strap attached to a backside of a feeding veil.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a strap to a backside in the Cobbe feeding veil, as taught by Amicarelli, in order to increase privacy by keep the veil by a handle through the strap.

- 7. Claims 5 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cobbe in view of Amicarelli et al. and further in view by Clack (Des. 398,739)
 Clack teaches a strap attached to of a feeding veil having a pair of loops.
 It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide a strap to a backside in the feeding veil of Cobbe in view of Amicarelli having a pair of loops, as taught by Clack, in order to facilitate of keeping the veil more privacy by a handle through the strap.
- 8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to LIEN TM NGO whose telephone number is 571-272, 4545. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 AM -6:00 PM.

Application/Control Number: 10/602,059

Art Unit: 3727

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, NATHAN NEWHOUSE can be reached on 571-272-4544. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

LIEN TM NGO Primary Examiner Art Unit 3727

muly

August 2, 2005